RAUL ENCIZO,

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Petitioner,)

vs.)

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)

Respondent.)

No. CV-F-05-1426 OWW (No. CR-F-03-5237 OWW)

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER DENYING PETITIONER RAUL ENCIZO'S MOTION TO VACATE, SET ASIDE OR CORRECT SENTENCE PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Docs. 1 & 182) AND DIRECTING CLERK OF COURT TO ENTER JUDGMENT FOR RESPONDENT

Petitioner Raul Encizo, proceeding *in pro per*, timely filed a motion to vacate, set aside or correct sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255.

The United States has filed an opposition to Petitioner's motion.

Petitioner pleaded guilty pursuant to a written Plea

Agreement (Doc. 132). The Plea Agreement provided in pertinent

part:

1

2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

. _

17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

25

26

2. Agreements by the Defendant.

. . .

- (b) The defendant agrees to enter a plea of guilty to the superseding information which charges him with using a communications facility to facilitate a drug-trafficking offense, in violation of Title 21, United States Code, section 843(b). The defendant agrees that he is in fact guilty of this charge and that the facts set forth in the factual basis of this agreement are true and accurate.
- (c) The defendant agrees that he will not request a sentence less than 36 months and not request any downward departures or reductions from his offense level which would result in a sentence of less than that amount.

. . .

(h) The defendant is aware that Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742 affords a defendant the right to appeal the sentence imposed. Acknowledging this, the defendant knowingly waives the right to appeal his conviction or any sentence (or the manner in which the sentence was determined) which is in accordance with the maximum provided [sic] Title 21, United States Code, Section 841(b)(1)(A) on the grounds set forth in Title 18, United States Code, Section 3742 or on any ground whatever, in exchange for the concessions made by the United States in this plea agreement. The defendant also waives his right to challenge his conviction, sentence or the manner in which it was determined in any post-conviction attack, including but not limited to a motion brought under Title 28, United States Code, Section 2241 or 2255.

On March 7, 2005, Petitioner was sentenced to a term of 41 months. Petitioner did not file an appeal from his conviction or sentence.

Petitioner asserts that he is entitled to relief pursuant to

10

11

13 14

15

17

18 19

20

22

23

24

25 26

Section 2255 due to the ineffective assistance of counsel at sentencing. Petitioner asserts that counsel was ineffective because (1) Petitioner was entitled to a downward departure in the calculation of his sentence pursuant to United States v. Booker, 543 U.S. 220 (2005); (2) counsel failed to seek a downward departure for aberrant behavior and minor role in the offense; (3) counsel failed to argue Petitioner's eligibility for the safety valve; and (4) counsel failed to argue that Petitioner was not guilty of violating 18 U.S.C. § 843(b) because no distribution of methamphetamine occurred.

A defendant may waive the statutory right to bring a Section 2255 motion challenging his conviction or sentence. Circuit holds that a waiver of the right to file a Section 2255 motion made pursuant to a negotiated plea agreement is enforceable except with respect to a claim that the waiver or the plea was involuntary or the result of ineffective assistance of counsel. Washington v. Lambert, 422 F.3d 864, 870-871 (9th Cir.2005), cert. denied, 547 U.S. 1074 (2006).

Petitioner makes no claim or showing that the waiver of the right to bring a Section 2255 motion set forth in his Plea Agreement was involuntary or the result of ineffective assistance of counsel. Petitioner's waiver is enforceable; Petitioner cannot seek relief pursuant to Section 2255.

For the reasons stated:

Petitioner Raul Encizo's motion to vacate, set aside or correct sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 is DENIED;

Case 1:03-cr-05237-AWI Document 226 Filed 04/30/08 Page 4 of 4

1	2. The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter Judgment for
2	Respondent.
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: April 28, 2008 /s/ Oliver W. Wanger UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
5	ONTED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	4